UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Jonathan Daniel Clements,
Appellant,

v.

State of Arkansas, et al
Appellees.

Case No.25-3497

JUDICIAL NOTICE AND REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY REVIEW

NOTICE TO THE COURT:

This filing constitutes a formal judicial notice under applicable federal and constitutional standards, alerting the reviewing authority to significant due process violations, clerical misconduct, and deliberate procedural obfuscation by officers of the Booneville District Court, Logan County, Arkansas.

The record will reflect that the undersigned, Jonathan Daniel Clements, appeared at the Booneville courthouse on January 9, 2026, in accordance with direct verbal instruction from the presiding judge, who hand-wrote the date of January 9th on the docket during a prior court session. Said date was affirmed both by the judge's own articulation and by the undersigned's contemporaneous documentation via live video broadcast and a written follow-up dated the same.

Upon arrival at the courthouse on January 9, 2026, I was informed by court clerks that the hearing had allegedly been scheduled for January 8, 2026, and that a failure to appear (FTA) was being processed. This contradicts both the verbal order of the court and the documentation in my possession. Furthermore, the clerk refused to verify this information by case number or to provide written clarification of the alleged date change.

The following facts are uncontested:

> The judge instructed the undersigned to appear on January 9th and personally recorded the date.
>
> I relied upon this instruction in good faith and arrived on time and in full compliance.
>
> No formal notice of a date change was provided via mail, phone, or digital notice.
>
> Court clerks refused to retrieve case data upon my request or confirm in writing the change.
>
> I contacted my bail bondsman ("Billy") in advance and after the incident. He confirmed that a court date on the 8th is procedurally unusual for Booneville misdemeanor court, and that he had no record of such.

These procedural irregularities compounded by clerical refusal to allow filings, misstatement of the court schedule, and a pattern of local hostility toward the undersigned and his ecclesiastical trust documentation amount to a denial of due process and a prejudicial obstruction of remedy.

EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR RELIEF:

Accordingly, I request the immediate intervention of the appellate court, including but not limited to:

> A formal stay of all failure-to-appear proceedings pending appellate review.
>
> An order compelling the Booneville District Court to provide written explanation and record of the court date assignment.
>
> A declaration of procedural impropriety under Rule 60(b)(3) and (6), and a referral to federal review if misconduct is substantiated.
>
> Permission to submit audio/video evidence confirming the January 9th instruction, as well as all correspondence related to the case.
>
> A finding that due process under the 14th Amendment and relevant federal codes has been violated.

This Judicial Notice is submitted in lawful equity, as Sovereign Executor and fiduciary party acting in good faith, without representation, without waiver of rights, and without joinder to commercial code assumptions.

/s/ Jonathan Daniel Clements

Appellant, in propria persona
Sovereign Executor, ECC-TRUST-JDC-005
Dated: January 10, 2026

Pro Nunc Tunc In Perpituity Ad Infintum

Certificate of Compliance

I hereby certify that this motion complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d) and 32(g) and contains fewer than 5,200 words. It is typed in a proportionally spaced 14-point font and formatted in compliance with this Court’s local rules.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Jonathan Daniel Clements
Appellant, in propria persona
Sovereign Executor, ECC-TRUST-JDC-005
January 10, 2026